BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. AC-2010-33

NEIL SCOTT SIPOS 2955 E. Hillcrest Ave., #124 Thousand Oaks, CA 91362

Certified Public Accountant License No. 68398

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the California Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on March 4, 201/

It is so ORDERED

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

- 1			
1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General HEATHER HUA		
.	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 223418		
4	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2574		
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant	•	
7		DIE TEUE	
8	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10		1	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2010-33	
12	NEIL SCOTT SIPOS		
l	2955 E. Hillcrest Ave., #124 Thousand Oaks, CA 91362	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF	
13	·	LICENSE AND ORDER	
14	Certified Public Accountant License No. 68398	•	
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties in this		
18	proceeding that the following matters are true:		
19	PARTIES		
20	1. Patti Bowers (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the California Board of		
21	Accountancy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this		
22	matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Heather Hua, Deput		
23	Attorney General.		
24	2. Neil Scott Sipos (Respondent) is rep	resented in this proceeding by attorney Murray	
25	Greiff, whose address is 11355 West Olympic Boulevard, Suite 100, Los Angeles, CA 90064.		
26	3. On or about January 20, 1995, the California Board of Accountancy issued Certified		
27	Public Accountant License No. 68398 to Respondent. The Certified Public Accountant License		
28	<i> </i>		

8

11

10

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

///

was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. AC-2010-33 and expired on November 30, 2010, and has not been renewed to date.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. AC-2010-33 was filed before the California Board of Accountancy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on December 6, 2010. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. AC-2010-33 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the 5. charges and allegations in Accusation No. AC-2010-33. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf, the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. AC-2010-33, and agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders his Certified Public Accountant License No. 68398 for the Board's formal acceptance.

///

9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Certified Public Accountant License without further process.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the California Board of Accountancy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the California Board of Accountancy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

27 | ///.

///

28 | ///

///

///

///

///

///

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Certified Public Accountant License No. 68398, issued to Respondent Neil Scott Sipos, is surrendered and accepted by the California Board of Accountancy.

- 14. The surrender of Respondent's Certified Public Accountant License and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.
- 15. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as an accountant in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 16. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his wall license certificate and, if one was issued, his pocket license on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 17. If Respondent ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. AC-2010-33 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition.
- 18. Respondent shall not apply for licensure or petition for reinstatement for one (1) year from the effective date of the Board of Accountancy's Decision and Order.
- 19. Respondent shall pay the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of eleven thousand nine hundred forty two dollars (\$11,942) prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.

.

ACCEPTANCE 1 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully 2 discussed it with my attorney, Murray Greiff. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will 3 have on my Certified Public Accountant License. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License 4 and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and 5 Order of the California Board of Accountancy. 8 signature page attached DATED: 10 Respondent 11 I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Neil Scott Sipos the terms and conditions 12 and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form 13 and content. 14 15 DATED: **MURRAY GREIFF** 16 Attorney for Respondent 17 **ENDORSEMENT** 18 The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted 19 for consideration by the California Board of Accountancy of the Department of Consumer 20 Affairs. 21 22 Dated: January 4, 2011 Respectfully submitted, 23 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 24 GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General 25 26 HEATHER HUA 27

28

Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

ACCEPTANCE 1 2 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully 3 discussed it with my attorney, Minney Greiff. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Cartified Public Accountant License. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License 4 and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and 5 Order of the California Board of Accountancy. 6 7 8 1-6-1 DATED: 9 **NEIL SCOTT SIPOS** 10 Respondent 11 I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Neil Scott Sipos the terms and conditions 12 and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form 13 and content. 14 15 DATED: 16 Attorney for Respondent 17 ENDORSEMENT 18 The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted 19 for consideration by the California Board of Accountancy of the Department of Consumer 20 Affairs. 21 Respectfully submitted, 22 Dated: January 4 2011 KAMALA D. HARRIS 23 Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE 24 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 25 26 HEATHER HUA Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant 27 28

01/13/5011 IS:48

Stipulated Surrender of License (Case No. AC-2010-33)

18668479753

Exhibit A

Accusation No. AC-2010-33

ļ			
1	EDMUND G. Brown Jr.		
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General HEATHER HUA		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 223418		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2574 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
•	BEFORE THE		
8	CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	STATE OF C	J	
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2010-33	
11	NEIL SCOTT SIPOS		
12	2955 E Hillcrest Ave., #124 Thousand Oaks, CA 91362	ACCUSATION	
13 14	Certified Public Accountant License No. 68398		
15	Respondent.		
16	Complainant alleges:		
17	PARTIES		
18	Patti Bowers (Complainant) brings t	his Accusation solely in her official capacity as	
19	the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs		
20	2. On or about January 20, 1995, the California Board of Accountancy issued Certified		
	Public Accountant License Number 68398 to Neil Scott Sipos (Respondent). The Certified		
21	Public Accountant License Number 68398 to Ne	eil Scott Sipos (Respondent). The Certified	
21 22		eil Scott Sipos (Respondent). The Certified effect at all times relevant to the charges brough	
		effect at all times relevant to the charges brough	
22	Public Accountant License was in full force and herein and will expire on November 30, 2010, u	effect at all times relevant to the charges brough	
22 23	Public Accountant License was in full force and herein and will expire on November 30, 2010, u <u>JURISI</u>	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
22 23 24	Public Accountant License was in full force and herein and will expire on November 30, 2010, u <u>JURISI</u>	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought nless renewed. DICTION ne California Board of Accountancy (Board),	
22 23 24 25	Public Accountant License was in full force and herein and will expire on November 30, 2010, u JURISI 3. This Accusation is brought before the	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought nless renewed. DICTION ne California Board of Accountancy (Board), nority of the following laws. All section	
22 23 24 25 26	Public Accountant License was in full force and herein and will expire on November 30, 2010, u JURISI 3. This Accusation is brought before the Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authors.	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought nless renewed. DICTION ne California Board of Accountancy (Board), nority of the following laws. All section	

4. Section 5100 states, in pertinent part:

"After notice and hearing the board may revoke, suspend, or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted under Article 4 (commencing with Section 5070) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 5080), or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes:

"(a) Conviction of any crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a certified public accountant or a public accountant.

...

"(c) Dishonesty, fraud, gross negligence, or repeated negligent acts committed in the same or different engagements, for the same or different clients, or any combination of engagements or clients, each resulting in a violation of applicable professional standards that indicate a lack of competency in the practice of public accountancy or in the performance of the bookkeeping operations described in Section 5052.

• • •

"(g) Willful violation of this chapter or any rule or regulation promulgated by the board under the authority granted under this chapter.

• •

"(i) Fiscal dishonesty or breach of fiduciary responsibility of any kind.

...

"(k) Embezzlement, theft, misappropriation of funds or property, or obtaining money, property, or other valuable consideration by fraudulent means or false pretenses."

5. Section 5106 states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof. The board may order the certificate or permit suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a certificate or permit, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made, suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order

under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment."

6. Section 5060 states:

• •

"(b) No person or firm may practice public accountancy under any name other than the name under which the person or firm holds a valid permit to practice issued by the board.

. .

7. Section 490 states:

"(a) A board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
- 8. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension / expiration / surrender / cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 9. Section 5109 provides that the expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a license, practice privilege, or other authority to practice public accountancy by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation

2.5

of or action or disciplinary proceeding against the licensee, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

10. Section 5107, subdivision (a), states:

"The executive officer of the board may request the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in a disciplinary proceeding, to direct any holder of a permit or certificate found to have committed a violation or violations of this chapter to pay to the board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees. The board shall not recover costs incurred at the administrative hearing."

- Board Rule 58 (Cal. Code Regs., tit.16, § 58) of Rules of Professional Conduct states that "Licensees engaged in the practice of public accountancy shall comply with all applicable professional standards, including but not limited to generally accepted accounting principles and generally accepted auditing standards." The AICPA Code of Professional Conduct includes Section I-Principles and Section II-Rules. Both the Principles (Articles III and VI) and the Rule 501 are relevant to the allegations herein. Rule 501 (Acts discreditable), provides that "A member shall not commit an act discreditable to the profession."
 - 12. California Code Regulations, title 16, section 52, states:
- "(a) A licensee shall respond to any inquiry by the Board or its appointed representatives within 30 days. The response shall include making available all files, working papers and other documents requested."

• • •

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Substantially Related Crime)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100, subdivision(a), and 490, in that Respondent has been convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a Certified Public Accountant, as set forth below:

GRAND THEFT OF PERSONAL PROPERTY - FELONY

a. On or about October 18, 2010, in a criminal action entitled *The People of the State of California v. Neil Scott Sipos*, Ventura County Superior Court, Case No. 2009032292FA,

Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to seven counts of violating Penal Code section 487(a) (grand theft of personal property), a felony, with excessive losses over \$100,000 [Pen. Code § 186.11(a)(1)] and excessive property damage over \$200,000 [Pen. Code § 12022.6(a)(2) and 12022.6(b)]. Respondent has not been sentenced. A sentencing hearing is scheduled for January 14, 2011.

Client Ms. C. D.:

- b. The circumstances of the conviction are that Respondent was engaged by client Ms. C.D. (hereinafter, "client C.D.") as her accountant. Respondent requested that client C.D. make the 2008 payroll tax payments payable to Respondent. Despite all the payments being cashed by Respondent, the payroll tax payments of \$37,624.72 were never received by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). As of September 15, 2009, interest and penalties of \$17,903.14 were incurred.
- c. In August 2007, Respondent requested client C.D. to make a \$25,500 payment payable to him for her American Funds retirement account. Respondent cashed the funds on September 4, 2007. However, when client C.D. received her retirement account statement in December 2007, the funds were not deposited into her retirement account. The funds were later deposited to her retirement account in January 15, 2008 after more than four months.

Client Mr. N. S.

d. Respondent was engaged by Mr. N.S. (hereinafter, "client N.S.") as his accountant. Respondent requested client N.S. to make the payroll payments including the payroll tax payments for the quarters ending in June, September, and December 2008 and March 2009 payable to him. Despite all the payments being cashed by Respondent, the payroll tax payments of \$126,544.70 were never received by the IRS. As of May 5, 2010, interest, penalties and collection fees of \$36,822.78 were incurred.

Client Mr. R.M.

e. Respondent was engaged by Mr. R.M. (hereinafter, "client R.M.") as his accountant.

¹ Client names have been omitted for the purpose of protecting the individual's privacy. The names and contact information for the client(s) involved in this Accusation will be disclosed upon receipt of a proper request for discovery.

2.4

On or about 2009, client R.M. received a notice from the IRS stating that the taxes were late. Client R.M. confronted Respondent, who stated that he had "misapplied" some funds and agreed to return client R.M.'s money. A letter from the IRS dated October 5, 2009, indicated that client R.M.'s company owed \$10,279.55.

Client Ms. M.S.

f. Respondent was engaged by Ms. M.S. (hereinafter, "client M.S.") as her accountant. Client M.S. authorized Respondent to sign her company's checks and make deposits for payment to the IRS. Client M.S. discovered that Respondent failed to make deposits to the IRS. Respondent admitted to client M.S. that he had been late at making the deposits about four to six times over the past year or so. Client M.S. had incurred late penalties because of the late deposits. A letter from the IRS indicated that \$2,090.90 was currently unpaid and due. The penalty amount was \$752.65 and the interest amount was \$11.95 for a total of \$2,855.50.

Client Mr. A.W.

g. Respondent was engaged by Mr. A.W. (hereinafter, "client A.W.") as his accountant. Respondent advised client A.W. to make payments payable to Respondent's company Sipos & Co. Approximately in 2008, client A.W. began receiving letters from the IRS stating that he had unpaid payroll taxes from the end of 2007. Respondent advised A.W. that he would handle the problem. Despite Respondent's assurances, client A.W. continued to receive letters from the IRS stating that he had unpaid taxes. The letters from the IRS indicated that client A.W. owed taxes in the amount of \$3,600 to \$11,000.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud, Dishonesty)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100, subdivision (c), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed fraudulent and dishonest acts in the practice of public accountancy, as more fully discussed in paragraph 13, subdivisions (a) – (g), inclusive, above, which is incorporated herein as set forth in whole.

28 | ///

///

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	
1	7
1	
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

1

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Misappropriation of Funds)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 5100, subdivision (k), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent embezzled, stole, and/or, misappropriated funds or property, as more fully discussed in paragraph 13, subdivisions (a) – (g), inclusive, above, which is incorporated herein as set forth in whole.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Self-Dealing and Lack of Integrity)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 5100, subdivision (g), for violating Board Rule 58, in conjunction with AICPA Code of Professional Conduct, in that Respondent's conduct, as set forth in the preceding paragraph 13, subdivisions (a) – (g), inclusive, above, constitutes self-dealing and lack of integrity.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 5100, subdivision (c), for violating professional standards and/or legal requirements, as set forth in the preceding paragraph 13, subdivisions (a) - (g), inclusive, above, constitutes gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy because Respondent placed his own financial interest above those of his clients' and its principals, and ignored professional requirements of integrity and lack of self-dealing.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fiscal Dishonesty or Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 5100, subdivision (i), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent knowingly committed fiscal dishonesty, and/or, breached his fiduciary responsibility, by misappropriating his clients' funds, as more fully discussed in paragraph 13, subdivisions (a) - (g), inclusive, above, which is incorporated herein as set forth in whole.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Properly Register Firm Name)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 5060, subdivision (b), in that Respondent failed to properly register his firm name of Sipos & Company with the California Board of Accountancy. Respondent used the unregistered firm name of Sipos & Company in his public accountancy practice at least from August 2007 through March 2009.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Respond to Board Inquiry)

20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 52, violating Board Rule 52, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to respond to inquiry by the Board within 30 days. Specifically, Respondent did not respond within 30 days to two letters by the California Board of Accountancy dated September 2 and 24, 2009 from Investigator P. Fisher that were mailed to Respondent's address of record.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending or otherwise imposing discipline upon Certified Public Accountant License Number 68398, issued to Respondent Neil Scott Sipos.
- 2. Ordering Respondent Neil Scott Sipos to pay the California Board of Accountancy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5107;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: WOVOM 1508 22,2010

Executive Officer

Executive Officer

California Board of Accountancy Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

O. 1:

Complainant

LA2010601068 60575123.doc

28



DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY 2000 EVERGREEN STREET, SUITE 250 SACRAMENTO, CA 95815-3832 TELEPHONE: (916) 263-3680 FACSIMILE: (916) 263-3675 WEB ADDRESS: http://www.cba.ca.gov



RE: SIPOS, NEIL SCOTT Case No. AC-2010-33

Summary of Costs

INVESTIGATIVE CPA - \$7,735.26

NON TECHNICAL INVESTIGATOR - \$459.99

ATTORNEY GENERAL - \$3,746.75

TOTAL \$11,942.00